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Motivations

- ONOS Intent Framework allows to specify high-level policies
- Transparent re-compilation as a consequence of environment changes
- Can we reactively take into account flow-level statistics events to optimize a global network objective?
  - e.g. minimize Maximum Link Utilization (MLU)
Initial idea

- Definition of a new smart Intent whose compiler
  - monitors statistics of flows corresponding to a set of intents
  - periodically re-optimize their paths based on their flow statistics

- Any application can transparently benefit from the new re-compilation logic

**PROBLEM:** the integration of optimization tools inside an ONOS instance kills the performance!
Proposed approach

- Split flow monitoring and path enforcing from routing logic
- (Re-)routing logic moved to an off-platform application
- Application developers/operators can define their own plug&play external routing logic
  - optimization tools/AI/ML based on traffic statistics
  - can re-use their existing TE tools and use ONOS to control the network
Big picture

- Application’s developers submit a set of Intents to the new MonitorAndReroute module to monitor their stats.
- The new module propagates the related flow stats to the off-platform application.
- The new module applies the new routing configuration, (re-)computed and received from the off-platform application, via the Intent Framework.
Application workflow example
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New ONOS module: MonitorAndReroute

- Receives Intents to be submitted and monitored
  - offers a service to other ONOS applications
- Submits the Intent to the Intent Framework
- Maps Intent ↔ FlowRule to filter FlowRuleEvent to be propagated to the off-platform application
  - offers a REST/gRPC API
- Receives new routing configurations
  - offers a REST/gRPC API
- Enforce new routing configurations via Intent Framework
How to enforce a routing?

1. PathIntent/LinkCollectionIntent allow to specify an explicit path
   ○ *Path itself is part of the objective* => failures are not transparently recovered

2. The new module itself might handle failures similarly to PointToPointIntentCompiler*
   ○ *Against code reusability*: this functionality might be useful to other app developers!

3. Define a new Intent with “suggested” path(s)
   ○ The compiler checks if these path(s) are available and eventually fall backs to classic shortest paths
   ○ But this is very similar to PointToPointCompiler’s compile()! We might directly modify PointToPointIntent to include optional primary/backup paths!

*the compiler itself computes the backup path and configures both the flow rules and the fast-failover mechanism*
Interactions ONOS module ↔ off-platform app (1)

Flow statistics propagation

- Retrieving statistics via REST implies a pull-based approach, so we would need to cache them while waiting for the off-platform app
  - high synchronization overhead between instances in case of big number of monitored intents
- gRPC allows to directly push them as soon as the FlowRuleEvent is triggered
Interactions ONOS module ↔ off-platform app (2)

**New routing configuration**

- The off-platform push them to the ONOS module
  - REST and gRPC are both viable approaches

→ Definition of a common interface with two implementations

(REST API + gRPC)
DEMO from ONOS Build 2017

- PoC implementation built on top of SDN-IP application
- SDN-IP tutorial network fed with 2 days traffic from Abilene
- MLU is monitored over the 2 days
- SDN-IP
  - traffic forwarding with standard intents for both days
- extended SDN-IP
  - traffic forwarding with standard intents during the 1st day
  - TMs collected during 1st day are used by the optimization model to generate robust routing configuration(s) for the 2nd day
Routing optimization

- Traffic is monitored for a training period (e.g. a day) and a new routing configuration is computed and applied for the next period
  - exploiting traffic quasi-periodicity on a daily basis
  - defining an optimization model* to cluster TMs in time, space and routing domain based on flow stats to minimize avg MLU
  - routing configurations are robust over TM space to cope with traffic deviations w.r.t expected scenarios
  - Trade-off: number of reconfigurations vs robustness of routing

*joint work with France Research Center, Huawei Technologies Co. Ltd
SDN-IP vs extended SDN-IP comparison
SDN-IP application forwards traffic onto the shortest path in both days.
The approach can be iterated!
The approach can be iterated!
The approach can be iterated!
Feedbacks and collaboration

- Andrea Campanella and Carmelo Cascone helped us in the proposal definition and gave us interesting feedbacks
- Andrea will support us in the code review process
Release plan - FIRST RELEASE

Definition of the interface and implementation of the MonitorAndReroute module:

1. receives intents to be submitted and monitored
2. exposes a REST API to collect flow statistics of the monitored flows
3. exposes a REST API to configure the routings by specifying the explicit path (which will be submitted via existing intents, e.g. PathIntent)

Implementation of an off-platform application example to optimize flow routings by jointly considering the flow statistics of different flows
Release plan - SECOND RELEASE

Flow statistics are pushed to the off-platform application via gRPC.

The routings can be configured via gRPC.

New/modified intent to allow a seamless failure recovery.

gRPC is also used to propagate topology changes to make the external module aware of the latest state of the network.
Release plan - THIRD RELEASE

An application can request the monitoring of a treatment (e.g. HTTP traffic) rather than of a specific intent.

Our module will propagate to the off-platform application any flow statistics corresponding to flows matching one of the treatment to be monitored.
Open points

- The MonitorAndReroute module orchestrates application, Intent Framework, off-platform apps communication
  - we plan to implement it as an application offering a service
  - Is it a proper position in the ONOS architecture?
  - Should we implement it as a core service?
- How to enforce a routing configuration?
  - New Intent vs PointToPointIntent extension
- Intents are a “topology-independent network-centric abstraction”
  - is it formally correct to put a topology-dependent information (an explicit path) as a constraint?